Drawing Triangles

Visual Computing Part II: Computer Graphics
Why should we care about triangles?
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Why should we care about triangles?
If you know how to draw a triangle, you’ll go a long way!

Why triangles and not other shapes, like squares, circles, or stars?
Let’s draw some triangles on the screen!

Must assign a color to each pixel on the screen.
Let’s draw some triangles on the screen!

Question 1: what pixels does the triangle overlap? ("coverage")

Question 2: what triangle is closest to the camera in each pixel? ("occlusion")
The visibility problem

- An informal definition: what scene geometry is visible within each screen pixel?
  - What scene geometry projects into a screen pixel? (coverage)
  - Which geometry is visible from the camera at that pixel? (occlusion)

Recall perspective projection from first class
The visibility problem

- An informal definition: what scene geometry is visible within each screen pixel?
  - What scene geometry projects into a screen pixel? (coverage)
  - Which geometry is visible from the camera at that pixel? (occlusion)

Think about light bouncing around from source, to objects in scene through pinhole to the screen
The visibility problem

- An informal definition: what scene geometry is visible within each screen pixel?
  - What scene geometry projects into a screen pixel? (coverage)
  - Which geometry is visible from the camera at that pixel? (occlusion)
The visibility problem (said differently)

- In terms of rays:
  - What scene geometry is hit by a ray from a pixel through the pinhole? (coverage)
  - What object is the first hit along that ray? (occlusion)

Hold onto this thought for later on in the semester.
Computing triangle coverage
What pixels does the triangle overlap?

Input:
projected position of triangle vertices:
P_0, P_1, P_2

Output:
set of pixels “covered” by the triangle

Must represent a continuous signal using a discrete approximation!
What does it mean for a pixel to be covered by a triangle?

Question: which triangles “cover” this pixel?
One option: compute fraction of pixel area covered by triangle, then color pixel according to this fraction.

Intuition: if triangle covers 10% of pixel, then pixel should be 10% red.
Computing amount of overlap?
Analytical schemes can get quite tricky, especially when considering interactions between multiple triangles.

Pixel covered by triangle 1, other half covered by triangle 2.

Interpenetration of triangles: even trickier.

Two regions of triangle 1 contribute to pixel. One of these regions is not even convex.
Estimating amount of overlap through sampling

What is a principled approach to think about this process?
Sampling 101
1D signal

\[ f(x) \]
Sampling: from continuous to discrete

Below: 5 measurements (“samples”) of $f(x)$
Audio file: stores samples of a 1D signal

Most consumer audio is sampled at 44.1 KHz

Q: Why 44.1Khz?
Reconstruction: from discrete to continuous (an interpolation problem)

$f_{\text{recon}}(x)$ is the reconstructed version of the original function $f(x)$.
Piecewise constant approximation

\[ f_{recon}(x) = \text{value of sample closest to } x \text{ (Nearest Neighbor)} \]
Piecewise linear approximation

\[ f_{\text{recon}}(x) = \text{linear interpolation between two samples closest to } x \]
How can we reconstruct the signal more accurately?

Sample signal more densely (increase sampling rate)

Q: What does “increase sampling rate” mean for our problem?
Reconstruction from denser sampling

- Dotted line = reconstruction via nearest neighbor
- Dashed line = reconstruction via linear interpolation
Sampling and Reconstruction

- As an aside
  - Sampling rate is obviously very important
    - Why limit it?
  - In general, what else might you worry about when sampling a signal?
    - Noise
    - Quantization
    - Impulse response
Mathematical representation of sampling

Consider the Dirac delta:

\[ \delta(x) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{for } x \neq 0 \\
\text{undefined} & \text{at } x = 0 
\end{cases} \]

s.t.

\[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x) \, dx = 1 \]
Mathematical representation of sampling

The ‘sifting’ property of the Impulse:

\[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \delta(x - a) \, dx = f(a) \]

Impulse occurring at \( x = a \)

Sampling the function is equivalent to multiplying it (inner product) by the Dirac delta
Sampling function

Consider a sequence of impulses with period $T$ (Dirac comb or impulse train):

$$\mathcal{I}_T(x) = T \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x - iT)$$

Discrete sampling of a continuous function $f(x)$ can be expressed as product between $f$ and the Dirac comb:

$$\mathcal{I}_T(x)f(x) = T \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} f(iT)\delta(x - iT)$$
Reconstruction as convolution

\[(f \ast g)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y)g(x - y)\,dy\]

reconstructed signal ("smooth" version of \(g\))

filter

input signal (sampled signal)

It may be helpful to consider the effect of convolution with the simple unit-area "box" function:

\[f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & |x| \leq 0.5 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}\]

\[(f \ast g)(x) = \int_{-0.5}^{0.5} g(x - y)\,dy\]
Reconstruction as convolution (box filter)

Sampled signal: (with period $T$)

$$g(x) = \Pi_T(x) f(x) = T \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} f(iT) \delta(x - iT)$$

Reconstruction filter: (unit area box of width $T$)

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{T} & |x| \leq T/2 \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}$$

Reconstructed signal: (nearest neighbor)

$$f_{\text{recon}}(x) = (h * g)(x) = T \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(y) \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} f(iT) \delta(x - y - iT) dy$$

non-zero only for $iT$ closest to $x$
Reconstruction as convolution
(triangle filter)

Sampled signal:
(with period $T$)

$$g(x) = \Pi_T(x)f(x) = T \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} f(iT)\delta(x - iT)$$

Reconstruction filter:
(unit area triangle of width $T$)

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} (1 - |x|/T) & |x| \leq T \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Reconstructed signal:

$$f_{\text{recon}}(x) = (h * g)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(y)g(x - y)dy = \ldots$$
Summary

- **Sampling** = measurement of a signal
  - Represent signal as discrete set of samples
  - Mathematically described as multiplication by impulse train

- **Reconstruction** = generating signal from a discrete set of samples
  - Convolution of sampled signal with a reconstruction filter
  - Intuition: value of reconstructed function at any point in domain is a combination of sampled values
  - We discussed simple box & triangle filters, but there are other, much higher quality filters

\[ \text{sinc}(x) = \frac{\sin(\pi x)}{\pi x} \]

- Normalized sinc filter
- Truncated sinc filter
- Truncated gaussian filter

[Image credit: Wikipedia]
Now back to computing coverage
Think of coverage as a 2D signal

\[ \text{coverage}(x,y) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if the triangle contains point } (x,y) \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases} \]
Estimate triangle-screen coverage by sampling the binary function: $\text{coverage}(x,y)$

Example: Pixel center is chosen as coverage sample point.

- $\triangle$ = triangle covers sample, fragment generated for pixel
- $\triangledown$ = triangle does not cover sample, no fragment generated
Edge cases (literally)

Is this sample point covered by triangle 1? or triangle 2? or both? or none?

Why is it important to decide?
OpenGL/Direct3D edge rules

- When edge falls directly on a screen sample point, the sample is classified as within triangle if the edge is a “top edge” or “left edge”
  - Top edge: horizontal edge that is above all other edges
  - Left edge: an edge that is not exactly horizontal and is on the left side of the triangle. (triangle can have one or two left edges)

Source: Direct3D Programming Guide, Microsoft
Results of sampling triangle coverage
We have a sampled signal, now we want to display it on a screen
Pixels on a screen

Each image sample sent to the display is converted into a little square of light of the appropriate color: (a pixel = picture element)

* Thinking of each pixel as emitting a square of uniform intensity light of a single color is a bit of an approximation to how real displays work, but it will do.
So if we send the display this:
We see this on the screen
Recall: the real coverage signal
Aliasing
Representing signals as a superposition of frequencies

\[ f_1(x) = \sin(\pi x) \]

\[ f_2(x) = \sin(2\pi x) \]

\[ f_4(x) = \sin(4\pi x) \]

\[ f(x) = f_1(x) + 0.75 f_2(x) + 0.5 f_4(x) \]
Representing signals as a superposition of frequencies
Representing signals as a superposition of frequencies

It’s exactly the same function!
Representing images (2D signals) as superposition of frequencies

individual frequencies are 2D sinusoids
(e.g. \( f(x, y) = \sin(a \pi x) \times \sin(b \pi x) \))
Visualizing the frequency content of images

Spatial domain image

Frequency Domain Image
Low frequencies only

Spatial domain result

Spectrum (after low-pass filter)
All frequencies above cutoff have 0 magnitude
Mid-range frequencies

Spatial domain result

Spectrum (after band-pass filter)
Mid-range frequencies

Spatial domain result

Spectrum (after band-pass filter)
High frequencies (edges)

Spatial domain result (strongest edges)

Spectrum (after high-pass filter)
All frequencies below threshold have 0 magnitude
An image as a sum of its frequency components

\[ \text{Image} = \text{Component}_1 + \text{Component}_2 + \text{Component}_3 \]
Back to 1D example: Sampling rate, high-frequency signals & aliasing

“Aliasing”: high frequencies in the original signal masquerade as low frequencies after reconstruction (due to undersampling)
Back to 1D example: Sampling rate, high-frequency signals & aliasing

Low-frequency signal: sampled adequately for accurate reconstruction

High-frequency signal is insufficiently sampled: reconstruction appears to be from a low frequency signal

“Aliasing”: high frequencies in the original signal masquerade as low frequencies after reconstruction (due to undersampling)
Temporal aliasing: wagon wheel effect

Camera’s frame rate (temporal sampling rate) is too low for rapidly spinning wheel.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VNftf5qLpiA
Sampling rate, high-frequency signals & aliasing

- So, how densely should you be sampling?
Nyquist-Shannon theorem

- Consider a band-limited signal: has no frequencies above $\omega_0$
  - 1D: consider low-pass filtered audio signal
  - 2D: recall the blurred image example from a few slides ago

- The signal can be perfectly reconstructed if sampled with period $T > 1 / 2\omega_0$
- And reconstruction is performed using a normalized sinc (ideal reconstruction filter with infinite extent)
Challenges of sampling-based approaches in graphics

- Signals are often not band-limited in computer graphics. Why?

- Also, infinite extent of “ideal” reconstruction filter (sinc) is impractical for performant implementations. Why?

Hint:
Aliasing artifacts in images

- Undersampling high-frequency signals and the use of non-ideal resampling filters yields image artifacts
  - “Jaggies” in a single image
  - “Roping” or “shimmering” of images when animated
  - Moiré patterns in high-frequency areas of images
Sampling a zone plate: $\sin(x^2 + y^2)$

Figure credit: Pat Hanrahan and Bryce Summers
Sampling a zone plate: $\sin(x^2 + y^2)$

Rings in center-left: Actual signal (low frequency oscillation)

Middle: (interaction between actual signal and aliased reconstruction)

Rings on right: aliasing from undersampling high frequency oscillation and then resampling back to slide resolution

Figure credit: Pat Hanrahan and Bryce Summers
Recall: the real coverage signal
Initial coverage sampling rate (1 sample per pixel)
We see this on the screen
Increase density of sampling coverage signal
(high frequencies exist in original signal because of triangle edges)
Supersampling

Example: stratified sampling using four samples per pixel

Ok, but now we have more samples than pixels!
Resampling

Converting from one discrete sampled representation to another

Original signal (high frequency edge) → Dense sampling of initial signal → Reconstructed signal (lacks high frequencies) → Coarsely sampled reconstructed signal
Resample to display’s pixel resolution
(Because a screen displays one sample value per screen pixel...)
Resample to display’s pixel resolution

(Because a screen displays one sample value per screen pixel...)
Resample to display’s pixel resolution
Displayed result (note anti-aliased edges)
Recall: the real coverage signal
Pretty much as well as we can do without an “infinite resolution display”
Sampling triangle coverage
(evaluating coverage(x,y) for a triangle)
Point-in-triangle test
Compute triangle edge equations from projected positions of vertices

\[ P_i = (X_i, Y_i) \]

\[ dX_i = X_{i+1} - X_i \]
\[ dY_i = Y_{i+1} - Y_i \]

\[ E_i(x, y) = (x - X_i) dY_i - (y - Y_i) dX_i = A_i x + B_i y + C_i \]

\[ E_i(x, y) = 0 : \text{point on edge} \]
\[ > 0 : \text{outside edge} \]
\[ < 0 : \text{inside edge} \]
Point-in-triangle test

$P_i = (X_i, Y_i)$

d$X_i = X_{i+1} - X_i$

d$Y_i = Y_{i+1} - Y_i$

$E_i(x, y) = (x - X_i) dY_i - (y - Y_i) dX_i$

$= A_i x + B_i y + C_i$

$E_i(x, y) = 0 :$ point on edge

$> 0 :$ outside edge

$< 0 :$ inside edge
Point-in-triangle test

\[ P_i = (X_i, Y_i) \]

\[ dX_i = X_{i+1} - X_i \]

\[ dY_i = Y_{i+1} - Y_i \]

\[ E_i(x, y) = (x - X_i) dY_i - (y - Y_i) dX_i \]

\[ = A_i x + B_i y + C_i \]

\[ E_i(x, y) = 0 : \text{point on edge} \]
\[ > 0 : \text{outside edge} \]
\[ < 0 : \text{inside edge} \]
Point-in-triangle test

\[ P_i = (X_i, Y_i) \]
\[ dX_i = X_{i+1} - X_i \]
\[ dY_i = Y_{i+1} - Y_i \]

\[ E_i(x, y) = (x - X_i) dY_i - (y - Y_i) dX_i \]
\[ = A_i x + B_i y + C_i \]

\[ E_i(x, y) = 0 : \text{point on edge} \]
\[ > 0 : \text{outside edge} \]
\[ < 0 : \text{inside edge} \]
Point-in-triangle test

Sample point \( s = (sx, sy) \) is inside the triangle if it is "inside" all three edges.

\[
inside(sx, sy) = \begin{align*}
E_0(sx, sy) &< 0 \\
E_1(sx, sy) &< 0 \\
E_2(sx, sy) &< 0;
\end{align*}
\]

Note: actual implementation of \( inside(sx,sy) \) involves \( \leq \) checks based on the triangle coverage edge rules (see earlier slides)

Sample points inside triangle are highlighted red.
Point-in-triangle test

Which points should we test?
- All of them?
- Points within bounding box?
Incremental triangle traversal

Rather than testing all points on screen, traverse them incrementally.

Many traversal orders are possible: backtrack, zig-zag, Hilbert/Morton curves (locality maximizing).

All modern GPUs have special-purpose hardware for efficiently performing point-in-triangle tests.
Summary

- We formulated computing triangle-screen coverage as a sampling problem
  - Triangle-screen coverage is a 2D signal
  - Undersampling and the use of simple (non-ideal) reconstruction filters may yield aliasing
  - In today’s example, we reduced aliasing via supersampling

- Image formation on a display
  - When samples are 1-to-1 with display pixels, sample values are handed directly to display
  - When “supersampling”, resample densely sampled signal down to display resolution

- Sampling screen coverage of a projected triangle:
  - Performed via three point-inside-edge tests
  - Real-world implementation challenge: balance conflicting goals of avoiding unnecessary point-in-triangle tests and maintaining parallelism in algorithm implementation