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SSIM Error Measurements

In Figure 1 we report error measures using the perceptually motivated structural similarity (SSIM) measure. This complements the sum of squared distances (SSD) error measures reported in Figure 8 (right) in the paper.
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Figure 1: Error measurements (SSIM) for the different sequences shown in Figure 2. Note that a higher value is better with 1 being the maximum.

Input Images

In Figure 2 we show example input images from the sequences used to compute the error measures in Figure 1 as well as in Figure 8 (right) in the paper.
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Middlebury Dataset

In Figure 3 we compare our phase-based method to optical flow on the Middlebury dataset\textsuperscript{1}. In order to increase the visual quality of our results, we ignored the high pass residual in these examples, which, however, leads to larger numerical errors compared to the ground truth.
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Figure 2: The sequences used for the error measurements in Figure 1 as well as in Figure 8 (right) in the paper.
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Figure 3: Comparison between our phase-based method and optical flow regarding the interpolation results and errors of various images from the Middlebury dataset (http://vision.middlebury.edu/flow/).